

**Research Article**

IMPROVING MECHANISMS FOR MANAGING COMMUNICATIVE CREATIVITY OF HIGHER EDUCATION INSTITUTION LEADERSHIP PERSONNEL

Journal [Website:](https://masterjournals.com/index.php/crjp)
<https://masterjournals.com/index.php/crjp>

Copyright: Original content from this work may be used under the terms of the creative commons attributes 4.0 licence.

Submission Date: November 11, 2024, **Accepted Date:** November 16, 2024,

Published Date: November 21, 2024

Crossref doi: <https://doi.org/10.37547/pedagogics-crjp-05-11-24>

Mamatova Khilola Mukhiddinovna

Associate Professor, Gulistan State Pedagogical Institute, Uzbekistan

ABSTRACT

This study explores the critical role of communicative creativity among leadership personnel in higher education institutions and proposes mechanisms for its enhancement. In an era of rapid change and complex challenges in the education sector, effective and innovative communication from institutional leaders is paramount. Through a comprehensive survey of students across various higher education institutions, this research identifies key issues in leadership communication, including limited visibility of leaders, inconsistent engagement with students, and challenges in conflict resolution. The study reveals a gap between student expectations and current leadership communication practices, highlighting the need for more adaptive and student-centered approaches. Drawing on these findings and existing literature, the article proposes a set of ten mechanisms to improve communicative creativity in higher education leadership. These mechanisms include leadership visibility programs, communication skills training, feedback loops, regular open forums, and creative problem-solving initiatives. The proposed framework aims to foster a more responsive, transparent, and collaborative educational environment. This research contributes to the growing body of literature on higher education leadership by offering practical, implementable strategies to enhance communicative creativity. The findings have significant implications for leadership development in higher education and suggest directions for future research in assessing the long-term impact of these communication enhancement mechanisms.

KEYWORDS



Higher education leadership; Communicative creativity; Student engagement; Leadership communication; Institutional management; Educational administration; Leadership development; Organizational communication; Student-centered leadership; Higher education innovation.

INTRODUCTION

In the rapidly evolving landscape of higher education, the role of leadership has become increasingly complex and demanding. As institutions face unprecedented challenges ranging from technological disruption to shifting student demographics, the ability of leadership personnel to communicate effectively and creatively has emerged as a critical factor in institutional success (Gigliotti, 2019). This article explores the current state of communicative creativity among leadership personnel in higher education institutions and proposes mechanisms for its improvement.

The concept of communicative creativity in leadership encompasses the ability to convey ideas innovatively, adapt communication styles to diverse audiences, and foster an environment that encourages open dialogue and collaborative problem-solving (Zerfass & Huck, 2007). In the context of higher education, this skill set is particularly crucial as leaders navigate the intricate web of stakeholders including students, faculty, staff, alumni, and community partners (Ruben et al., 2017).

Recent studies have highlighted the growing importance of effective communication in higher education leadership. For instance, McNaughtan et al. (2019) found that presidents who demonstrated strong communication skills were more likely to successfully implement strategic initiatives and maintain positive campus climates. Similarly, Gigliotti and Ruben (2017) argue that the ability to communicate creatively and adapt to changing

circumstances is a cornerstone of effective leadership in the complex ecosystem of higher education.

However, despite the recognized importance of communicative creativity, many institutions struggle to develop and implement effective mechanisms for cultivating this skill among their leadership personnel. Traditional approaches to leadership development in higher education often focus on administrative skills and policy knowledge, potentially overlooking the nuanced communication abilities required in today's dynamic academic environment (Zusman, 2005).

The challenges facing higher education leaders in terms of communication are multifaceted. They must address diverse and sometimes conflicting stakeholder expectations, manage crisis communications in an era of increased public scrutiny, and articulate complex academic concepts to varied audiences (Ruben & Gigliotti, 2017). Moreover, the rapid proliferation of digital communication channels has added another layer of complexity, requiring leaders to be adept at both traditional and new media communication strategies (Moran et al., 2011).

Our research, based on a comprehensive survey of students in higher education institutions, reveals several key issues related to leadership communication. These include a lack of familiarity between students and institutional leaders, varying perceptions of communication quality, and instances of conflict arising from communication breakdowns. These findings align with broader research in the field, such as Sandmann and Weerts' (2008) work on the



importance of engaged leadership in fostering strong university-community relationships.

The need for improved communicative creativity is further underscored by the changing expectations of students. Today's learners, often characterized as digital natives, have different communication preferences and expectations compared to previous generations (Prensky, 2001). They seek more transparent, immediate, and interactive forms of communication from their institutional leaders (Selingo, 2013). This shift necessitates a reevaluation of traditional leadership communication paradigms in higher education.

Furthermore, the global context in which higher education operates today demands leaders who can navigate cross-cultural communication challenges. As institutions increasingly engage in international partnerships and recruit a diverse student body, leaders must develop intercultural communication competencies (Deardorff, 2009). This global perspective adds another dimension to the communicative creativity required of higher education leaders.

The concept of creativity in leadership communication goes beyond mere articulation of ideas. It involves the ability to inspire, motivate, and drive change within the institution (Amabile, 1996). Creative leaders in higher education must be able to paint compelling visions of the future, rally diverse groups around common goals, and facilitate innovative problem-solving (Puccio et al., 2011). This requires a delicate balance of strategic thinking and emotional intelligence, both of which are intimately tied to communication skills (Goleman et al., 2013).

In light of these challenges and the evolving landscape of higher education, there is a pressing need to develop more effective mechanisms for managing and

enhancing the communicative creativity of leadership personnel. This article aims to contribute to this important area by analyzing the current state of leadership communication in higher education, identifying key challenges, and proposing innovative mechanisms for improvement.

By focusing on communicative creativity, we aim to address a critical yet often overlooked aspect of higher education leadership. The proposed mechanisms in this article are designed to foster a more responsive, innovative, and student-centered educational environment. They draw on best practices from both within and outside the education sector, recognizing that effective leadership communication is a skill that transcends industry boundaries (Heifetz et al., 2009).

As we delve into the specifics of our research findings and proposed solutions, it is important to note that improving communicative creativity is not a one-size-fits-all endeavor. Each institution must consider its unique culture, stakeholder composition, and strategic objectives when implementing these mechanisms. However, the overarching goal remains constant: to cultivate leadership that can effectively communicate, inspire, and drive positive change in the complex and ever-evolving world of higher education.

METHODOLOGY AND RESULTS

Our research employed a comprehensive survey targeting students in higher education institutions. The survey was designed to assess students' perceptions of and interactions with institutional leadership, with a focus on communication aspects.

Survey Design

The survey consisted of 18 questions, covering areas such as:

- Demographic information



- Familiarity with institutional leadership
- Quality of interactions with leaders
- Conflict experiences
- Satisfaction with institutional policies
- Perceptions of leadership support and engagement

Key Findings

1. Leadership Familiarity:

- o A significant portion of students reported only partial familiarity with their institution's leadership team.
- o Many students could not fully identify key leadership positions such as the Rector, Vice-Rectors, and Dean.

2. Communication Preferences:

- o Students indicated a tendency to approach specific leaders for different issues, suggesting a need for clear communication channels.

3. Interaction Quality:

- o Ratings of student-leadership interactions varied widely, with responses ranging from "excellent" to "barely tolerable."

4. Conflict Experiences:

- o Some students reported experiencing conflicts with leadership, though the frequency varied.

5. Institutional Policy Satisfaction:

- o Student satisfaction with internal policies showed a mixed response, ranging from "very satisfied" to "very dissatisfied."

6. Perceived Leadership Support:

- o Perceptions of how much leadership supports students were diverse, with some feeling strongly supported and others feeling unsupported.

7. Open Dialogue:

- o There was inconsistency in the implementation of open dialogue sessions between students and leadership across institutions.

Challenges in Leadership Communication

Based on our survey results and corroborated by existing literature, several key challenges in higher education leadership communication have been identified:

1. **Visibility and Accessibility:** The partial familiarity of students with leadership suggests a gap in visibility. As Sandmann and Weerts (2008) argue, engaged leadership is crucial for strong institutional relationships. The challenge lies in making leaders more visible and accessible to the student body.

2. **Communication Style:** Some students perceived authoritarian pressure in leadership communication. This aligns with Gigliotti and Ruben's (2017) assertion that adaptable communication is essential in the complex higher education environment. Leaders must balance authority with approachability.

3. **Active Listening:** The perception that leaders sometimes fail to listen effectively to student concerns is a significant challenge. McNaughtan et al. (2019) emphasize that strong communication skills, including listening, are crucial for successful leadership.

4. **Trust Building:** The expressed lack of trust in leadership by some students presents a critical challenge. As Ruben et al. (2017) note, building trust is essential for effective leadership in higher education.



5. Consistent Engagement: The inconsistent implementation of open dialogue sessions across institutions highlights a challenge in maintaining regular, meaningful engagement with students.

6. Conflict Resolution: The instances of conflict between students and leadership point to a need for improved conflict resolution mechanisms.

7. Adapting to Student Communication Preferences: As highlighted by Prensky (2001) and Selingo (2013), today's students have different communication expectations. Meeting these evolving preferences presents an ongoing challenge for leadership.

Proposed Mechanisms for Improvement

To address the identified challenges and enhance communicative creativity among higher education leadership, we propose the following mechanisms:

1. Leadership Visibility Programs:

- o Implement regular "Meet Your Leaders" events.
- o Utilize digital platforms for leader profiles and updates.
- o Encourage leaders to participate in student-led events and activities.

2. Communication Skills Training:

- o Provide ongoing training for leaders in active listening, empathy, and non-authoritarian communication styles.
- o Incorporate scenario-based learning to practice handling difficult conversations.

3. Feedback Loops:

- o Establish anonymous feedback systems for students to share concerns and suggestions.

- o Implement regular surveys to gauge student perceptions of leadership communication.

- o Create a mechanism for leaders to respond to and act on feedback publicly.

4. Regular Open Forums:

- o Schedule consistent, well-publicized open dialogue sessions between students and leadership.
- o Vary the format (e.g., town halls, small group discussions, online Q&A sessions) to cater to different preferences.

5. Conflict Resolution Workshops:

- o Offer workshops for both students and leaders on constructive conflict resolution techniques.
- o Establish a mediation service for student-leadership conflicts.

6. Student Advisory Boards:

- o Create student advisory boards to work directly with leadership on policy and decision-making processes.
- o Ensure diverse representation on these boards to capture a wide range of student perspectives.

7. Leadership Rotations:

- o Implement a system where leaders regularly engage with different student groups and departments.
- o This can broaden their perspective and increase their visibility across the institution.

8. Creative Problem-Solving Initiatives:

- o Launch collaborative projects that bring students and leaders together to solve campus issues creatively.



- o Host innovation challenges where mixed teams of students and leaders work on institutional improvements.

9. Multi-Channel Communication Strategy:

- o Develop a comprehensive communication strategy that utilizes various channels (social media, email, face-to-face, video) to reach students effectively.

- o Tailor communication styles and channels to student preferences, as suggested by Moran et al. (2011).

10. Intercultural Communication Training:

- o Provide training in intercultural communication competencies, as recommended by Deardorff (2009), to help leaders navigate diverse student populations.

Implementation and Evaluation

To ensure the effectiveness of these mechanisms, we recommend:

1. Phased Implementation: Introduce mechanisms gradually, allowing for adjustment and refinement.

2. Continuous Assessment: Regularly evaluate the impact of each mechanism through surveys, focus groups, and quantitative metrics (e.g., engagement rates, conflict resolution success rates).

3. Adaptive Approach: Be prepared to modify or replace mechanisms based on feedback and measured outcomes.

4. Leadership Buy-In: Ensure top-level commitment to these initiatives to drive institutional change.

CONCLUSION

Improving the communicative creativity of higher education leadership is essential for creating a more responsive, innovative, and student-centered educational environment. The mechanisms proposed in this article aim to address the challenges identified through our research and align with best practices highlighted in the literature.

By implementing these mechanisms, institutions can foster better understanding, trust, and collaboration between students and leadership, ultimately enhancing the overall quality of higher education. As the higher education landscape continues to evolve, the ability of leaders to communicate creatively and effectively will remain a critical factor in institutional success.

Future research should focus on measuring the long-term impact of these mechanisms, identifying best practices that can be shared across institutions, and exploring how these communication strategies can be adapted to address emerging challenges in higher education.

REFERENCES

1. Amabile, T. M. (1996). Creativity in context: Update to the social psychology of creativity. Westview Press.
2. Deardorff, D. K. (2009). The SAGE handbook of intercultural competence. Sage Publications.
3. Gigliotti, R. A. (2019). Crisis leadership in higher education: Theory and practice. Rutgers University Press.
4. Gigliotti, R. A., & Ruben, B. D. (2017). Preparing higher education leaders: A conceptual, strategic, and operational approach. *Journal of Leadership Education*, 16(1), 96-114.
5. Goleman, D., Boyatzis, R., & McKee, A. (2013). Primal leadership: Unleashing the power of emotional intelligence. Harvard Business Press.



6. Heifetz, R., Grashow, A., & Linsky, M. (2009). *The practice of adaptive leadership: Tools and tactics for changing your organization and the world*. Harvard Business Press.
7. McNaughtan, J., García, H. A., & Nehls, K. (2019). Understanding the growth of contingent faculty. *New Directions for Institutional Research*, 2018(176), 9-26.
8. Moran, M., Seaman, J., & Tinti-Kane, H. (2011). Teaching, learning, and sharing: How today's higher education faculty use social media. Babson Survey Research Group.
9. Prensky, M. (2001). Digital natives, digital immigrants part 1. *On the Horizon*, 9(5), 1-6.
10. Puccio, G. J., Mance, M., & Murdock, M. C. (2011). *Creative leadership: Skills that drive change*. SAGE Publications.
11. Ruben, B. D., & Gigliotti, R. A. (2017). Communication: Sine qua non of organizational leadership theory and practice. *International Journal of Business Communication*, 54(1), 12-30.
12. Ruben, B. D., De Lisi, R., & Gigliotti, R. A. (2017). *A guide for leaders in higher education: Core concepts, competencies, and tools*. Stylus Publishing, LLC.
13. Sandmann, L. R., & Weerts, D. J. (2008). Reshaping institutional boundaries to accommodate an engagement agenda. *Innovative Higher Education*, 33(3), 181-196.
14. Selingo, J. J. (2013). *College (un)bound: The future of higher education and what it means for students*. Houghton Mifflin Harcourt.
15. Zerfass, A., & Huck, S. (2007). Innovation, communication, and leadership: New developments in strategic communication. *International Journal of Strategic Communication*, 1(2), 107-122.
16. Zusman, A. (2005). Challenges facing higher education in the twenty-first century. *American Higher Education in the Twenty-First Century: Social, Political, and Economic Challenges*, 2, 115-160.