

The Role Of Digital Tools In Shaping Psychological Factors Of EFL Learners

Nazirova Muattar Tulkunovna

Senior English Teacher at the Academic Lyceum of Chirchik State Pedagogical University, Uzbekistan

Mirzadiyarova Kamola

Head Teacher of English at the Academic Lyceum of Chirchik State Pedagogical University, Uzbekistan

Received: 05 December 2025 **Accepted:** 27 December 2025 **Published:** 31 January 2026

ABSTRACT

Digital tools have become central to English-as-a-Foreign-Language (EFL) learning in both formal classrooms and informal environments. Alongside gains in access and flexibility, technology reshapes learners' psychological experiences—motivation, anxiety, enjoyment, self-efficacy, engagement, and emotion regulation—through the way it structures interaction, feedback, autonomy, and social presence. This article synthesizes contemporary evidence and theory to explain how major categories of digital tools (mobile-assisted learning, computer-assisted learning, learning analytics feedback, virtual reality, AI chatbots, and informal digital learning ecosystems) influence psychological factors that are known to predict persistence and performance. Using an integrative literature review and conceptual synthesis, the paper identifies dual mechanisms: digital environments may reduce anxiety and enhance self-efficacy by providing psychological safety, personalization, and timely feedback, yet they can also intensify anxiety through cognitive overload, public visibility of performance, and reduced human connection. Evidence from recent EFL studies suggests that well-designed technology use tends to increase motivation and enjoyment, while poorly aligned tool-task designs can trigger avoidance, technostress, and fluctuating participation. The article concludes with design implications for EFL pedagogy: psychological outcomes depend less on the tool itself and more on the learning ecology created around it—feedback practices, interaction norms, transparency of expectations, and teacher mediation.

Keywords: EFL; digital tools; motivation; foreign language anxiety; enjoyment; self-efficacy; learning analytics; AI chatbots; virtual reality.

INTRODUCTION

Digital tools now mediate a large share of EFL learning, from mobile applications and learning management systems to video-conferencing, social media communities, virtual reality (VR), and conversational AI. This shift has expanded learning time and created new spaces for practice, but it has also changed the psychological “climate” in which learners engage with English. In EFL contexts, where classroom exposure can be limited and communicative risk is often high, psychological factors are not secondary outcomes; they are core conditions that

shape whether learners participate, persist, and ultimately progress.

Among the most studied psychological variables in second language acquisition are anxiety, enjoyment, and self-beliefs such as self-efficacy. Foreign language anxiety is commonly conceptualized as situation-specific anxiety related to language learning and performance, and it is often measured through the Foreign Language Classroom Anxiety Scale (FLCAS). More recent work has emphasized that positive emotions are not simply the

opposite of anxiety. Enjoyment in foreign language learning (often discussed as Foreign Language Enjoyment, FLE) coexists with anxiety and can independently support engagement and growth. A foundational large-scale study on enjoyment and anxiety in the language classroom demonstrated that both are influenced by learners' perceived proficiency and by classroom social-emotional conditions, especially teacher and peer dynamics. At the same time, the research base on enjoyment has expanded quickly, as shown by a systematic review covering 118 empirical studies published between 2014 and 2023.

Digital tools influence these psychological variables by changing how learners experience control, value, feedback, and social presence. A large open-access systematic review focusing on technology and foreign language anxiety synthesized 99 empirical studies from 2004 to 2024 and highlighted the “dual effect” of technology: anxiety may be alleviated via psychological safety and personalized feedback, but it can also be exacerbated via cognitive overload and weakened human interaction. This duality is especially salient in EFL because speaking, pronunciation, and real-time communication are highly evaluative experiences, and technology can either soften or amplify the sense of being judged.

The present article addresses the question: how do digital tools shape psychological factors of EFL learners, and through what mechanisms do these psychological shifts translate into learning behavior and outcomes? Instead of treating technology as uniformly beneficial or harmful, the paper frames digital tools as environments with affordances and demands. Affordances include increased opportunities for practice, privacy options, pacing control, and data-driven feedback. Demands include multitasking, constant notifications, public comparison, surveillance-like dashboards, and reduced non-verbal social cues. Understanding the psychological pathways between these features and learner responses can guide more ethical, motivating, and emotionally supportive technology integration in EFL education.

This study employed an integrative literature review combined with conceptual synthesis. The aim was not to calculate pooled effect sizes but to build an explanatory map of how digital tools influence EFL learners' psychological factors and why contradictory findings frequently appear across studies. The review prioritised peer-reviewed empirical research and high-quality reviews

addressing technology-enhanced language learning and psychological variables such as anxiety, enjoyment, motivation, self-efficacy, engagement, and emotion regulation.

The synthesis was guided by three analytic steps. First, the paper defined core psychological constructs used in EFL research—foreign language anxiety, enjoyment, self-efficacy, and motivational engagement—and treated these as outcomes sensitive to context. Second, it grouped digital tools by interaction logic rather than by brand: mobile-assisted language learning (MALL) and computer-assisted language learning (CALL), learning analytics feedback, VR-mediated experiences, conversational AI (including avatar-based chatbots), and informal digital learning of English (IDLE) across social platforms and web resources. Third, it extracted and compared mechanism statements across the literature, focusing on how tool features alter learners' perceived control, competence signals, social presence, and cognitive load.

To maintain conceptual coherence, evidence was interpreted through a dual-mechanism lens supported by recent systematic synthesis: technology can reduce anxiety through safety and personalized support, yet it can also increase anxiety through overload and reduced human interaction. Where possible, the article draws on recent EFL-focused empirical studies that directly measured psychological variables in technology-mediated conditions (for example, CALL/MALL interventions, learning analytics feedback in blended EFL courses, and AI chatbot speaking practice studies).

Across contemporary studies, a consistent pattern is that digital tools do not influence learner psychology in one direction. Instead, their effects depend on whether the learning design increases perceived control and competence while keeping cognitive load manageable and social presence supportive. The large systematic review on technology and foreign language anxiety explains this contradiction by identifying both anxiety-reducing and anxiety-inducing pathways within technology-enhanced learning environments. This dual-pathway logic helps interpret why the same category of tool—such as online speaking practice—can lower anxiety for one learner (because of anonymity and pacing) yet heighten anxiety for another (because cameras, breakout rooms, or recorded speech create stronger evaluative pressure).

Evidence from fully online language course contexts

illustrates this complexity. In an open-access ReCALL study of online foreign language speaking anxiety, learners reported that technology could be anxiety-inducing through camera use and emotionally intensified small-group breakout rooms, yet supportive through translation tools and dictionaries that reduce uncertainty during interaction. This finding is psychologically important because it shows that “online learning” is not a single environment; it is a bundle of micro-features that can move anxiety up or down depending on task type and social configuration.

Digital tools often expand learners’ sense of autonomy by enabling self-paced practice, flexible scheduling, and access to content beyond the classroom. However, autonomy alone does not guarantee sustained motivation; learners also need a sense that their effort produces meaningful progress and that participation is socially valued. Research on informal digital learning of English provides a clear window into this mechanism. A mixed-method study in ReCALL examined relationships among L2 motivation, enjoyment, and IDLE practices, reporting that motivational vision (ideal L2 self) strongly predicted engagement in informal digital learning and that enjoyment partially mediated this relationship. In practical terms, this suggests that digital engagement grows when learners feel energized by the identity they are building through English, and when digital activity is emotionally rewarding rather than merely instrumental.

Enjoyment itself has become a major psychological outcome in modern EFL research. The systematic review in Heliyon found that empirical studies on foreign language enjoyment have grown rapidly and have concentrated heavily on adult EFL learners, often using quantitative methods and focusing on enjoyment’s antecedents and effects. When interpreted alongside classroom-based evidence on enjoyment and anxiety, this supports a key result: digital tools shape motivation not only through efficiency but also through emotional experience.

AI-supported personalization offers another motivational pathway. A *Frontiers in Public Health* study reported that AI-driven personalized foreign language learning increased pleasure, reduced anxiety, and strengthened self-efficacy compared with traditional learning, while also describing dynamic interactions among these variables over time. Although this research framed outcomes in terms of mental health variables (pleasure, anxiety, self-

efficacy), the implication for EFL motivation is direct: personalization can support persistence when it increases positive affect and perceived control without overwhelming learners with constant evaluative signals.

Self-efficacy is especially sensitive to feedback quality, opportunities for successful performance, and the interpretability of progress cues. Several technology-mediated environments strengthen self-efficacy by offering immediate feedback and repeated low-stakes practice. A controlled EFL study comparing CALL, MALL, and face-to-face conditions reported that technology-based instruction could increase motivation and self-efficacy while decreasing anxiety, indicating that well-structured digital practice can shift both affect and competence beliefs.

Learning analytics feedback is a more explicit “competence signal” because it turns learning traces into performance information. In a blended EFL course, learning-analytics-based feedback was associated with improved course performance and was positioned as support for self-regulated learning operations, suggesting that analytics can scaffold monitoring and persistence when framed as formative guidance rather than surveillance. In psychological terms, analytics dashboards can strengthen self-efficacy when they help learners interpret their effort and make adjustments; they can undermine self-efficacy when they invite social comparison or label learners in fixed ways.

Foreign language anxiety is strongly linked to perceived evaluation threats, especially in speaking. Technology changes the evaluation landscape by shifting who evaluates (teacher, peer group, algorithm), when evaluation occurs (immediate vs delayed), and how visible performance is (private rehearsal vs recorded artifacts). The systematic review of technology and anxiety explicitly notes that technology can reduce anxiety through psychological safety and personalized feedback, yet it can increase anxiety via cognitive overload and reduced human interaction.

Empirical studies in online learning contexts show that anxiety is also shaped by broader psychological and social conditions. For example, an open-access study in *Social Sciences & Humanities Open* reported that in Saudi university contexts, lower proficiency learners experienced higher anxiety in online EFL learning, and qualitative findings highlighted self-efficacy, social isolation, and

digital adaptability as key variables shaping anxiety. This indicates that digital tools do not operate in a vacuum; learner readiness, social connection, and platform familiarity can determine whether online learning becomes empowering or threatening.

Emerging technologies such as VR and conversational AI also interact with anxiety. A meta-analytic study in *Foreign Language Annals* synthesized randomized controlled research and concluded that VR-assisted language education tends to reduce anxiety while increasing motivation, performance, satisfaction, and self-efficacy compared with traditional methods. The anxiety reduction mechanism is plausibly linked to immersive, embodied practice environments that provide “safe” rehearsal and increase presence, but implementation quality matters because poorly designed VR can also increase cognitive strain.

Conversational AI adds a different form of psychological safety: a non-judgmental interlocutor available on demand. In *System*, a study comparing different generative AI chatbot designs found reductions in foreign language speaking anxiety and improvements in willingness to communicate when learners interacted with more embodied, human-like avatar chatbots, suggesting that perceived social presence and emotional support influence anxiety responses in AI-mediated speaking practice. This is an important nuance: anxiety outcomes depend not only on “using a chatbot,” but on the interaction design—voice, embodiment, feedback tone, and the extent to which the system feels supportive rather than evaluative.

The synthesis suggests that digital tools shape EFL learner psychology primarily by changing perceived control, competence feedback, and social-emotional conditions. This finding aligns with the broader technology–anxiety literature, where technology’s positive role is explained through psychological safety and personalized feedback, and its negative role through overload and diminished human connection. In EFL settings, these mechanisms are intensified because communicative tasks are identity-relevant and emotionally exposed: learners must speak, make mistakes publicly, and often interpret feedback as an evaluation of self rather than task.

A major implication is that educators and institutions should shift the question from “which digital tool is best?” to “what psychological ecology does this tool create?” The same tool can either protect learners emotionally or

heighten pressure depending on norms and design decisions. Online speaking illustrates this sharply: cameras, breakout rooms, and synchronous interaction can increase anxiety, while embedded supports and flexible participation channels can reduce it. Similarly, analytics feedback can empower learners when it is framed as information for self-improvement, but it can feel like surveillance when it becomes a tool for ranking or punishment.

The evidence on enjoyment and informal digital learning highlights that motivation in digital spaces is strongly emotional and identity-based. When digital learning connects to a vivid desired future self and produces enjoyment, learners invest more time and engage more productively beyond formal requirements. This is consistent with the broader growth in enjoyment research across EFL contexts and the recognition that positive emotions are not optional “extras,” but drivers of persistence, strategy use, and willingness to communicate.

AI-driven personalization and conversational AI point to a newer psychological frontier: tools do not merely deliver content; they simulate relationships and provide affective feedback. Avatar-based chatbots appear capable of reducing speaking anxiety and increasing willingness to communicate, which suggests that perceived social presence and emotional support can be built into digital interaction design. At the same time, AI systems may also introduce new anxieties linked to constant correction, opaque scoring, or feelings of being monitored, a concern explicitly raised in AI-supported learning research that links emotional experience, self-efficacy, and engagement.

This article has limitations. It is a conceptual synthesis rather than a primary empirical study, and it does not claim universal effect sizes across tool categories. Psychological outcomes differ by proficiency level, prior digital literacy, cultural norms around evaluation, and the extent to which learners have supportive communities. Evidence from Saudi online learning contexts, for example, shows that social isolation and digital adaptability shape anxiety alongside proficiency. Future research would benefit from more longitudinal designs that track how motivation, anxiety, and self-efficacy co-develop as learners move from novelty to routine use of tools, and from more multimodal measurement approaches that combine self-report scales with behavioral and physiological indicators, as recent technology–anxiety synthesis also recommends.

Digital tools are not psychologically neutral in EFL learning. They alter the emotional and motivational conditions of language acquisition by transforming autonomy, feedback, evaluation visibility, and social presence. The evidence supports a dual conclusion: technology can reduce anxiety and strengthen motivation and self-efficacy through psychological safety, personalization, and timely feedback, yet it can also raise anxiety through cognitive overload, public comparison, and reduced human connection. Empirical studies in CALL/MALL, VR-assisted learning, learning analytics feedback, AI-driven personalization, chatbots, and informal digital learning environments show that positive psychological outcomes are most likely when the tool is embedded in supportive pedagogy with clear expectations, formative feedback, and emotionally safe interaction norms. For EFL educators, the practical message is that digital transformation should be evaluated not only by access or test scores, but by the psychological climate it creates—because that climate ultimately determines engagement, persistence, and communicative risk-taking.

REFERENCES

1. Bandura A. Self-efficacy: The exercise of control. – New York: W. H. Freeman, 1997. – 604 p.
2. Horwitz E. K., Horwitz M. B., Cope J. Foreign language classroom anxiety // *The Modern Language Journal*. – 1986. – Vol. 70, No. 2. – P. 125–132. – DOI: 10.1111/j.1540-4781.1986.tb05256.x.
3. Dewaele J.-M., MacIntyre P. D. The two faces of Janus? Anxiety and enjoyment in the foreign language classroom // *Studies in Second Language Learning and Teaching*. – 2014. – Vol. 4, No. 2. – P. 237–274. – DOI: 10.14746/ssllt.2014.4.2.5.
4. Zhang Z., Gao X. (Andy), Liu T. Enjoyment in foreign language learning: A systematic review // *Heliyon*. – 2024. – Vol. 10, Iss. 17. – e37215. – DOI: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e37215.
5. Huang L., Liu M. The impact of technology on foreign language anxiety: A systematic review of empirical studies from 2004 to 2024 // *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications*. – 2025. – Vol. 12. – Art. 1665. – DOI: 10.1057/s41599-025-05921-6.
6. Alharbi M. S. Digital transformation and EFL anxiety: A mixed-methods study on the impact of online learning on the language acquisition psychology of Saudi Arabian students // *Social Sciences & Humanities Open*. – 2025. – Vol. 12. – 101721. – DOI: 10.1016/j.ssaho.2025.101721.
7. Dong L., Mohammed S. J., Ibrahim K. A. A., Rezai A. Fostering EFL learners' motivation, anxiety, and self-efficacy through computer-assisted language learning- and mobile-assisted language learning-based instructions // *Frontiers in Psychology*. – 2022. – Vol. 13. – 899557. – DOI: 10.3389/fpsyg.2022.899557.
8. Chen J. Effects of learning analytics-based feedback on students' self-regulated learning and academic achievement in a blended EFL course // *System*. – 2024. – Vol. 124. – 103388. – DOI: 10.1016/j.system.2024.103388.
9. Yu Z., Duan P. Meta-analyses of anxiety, motivation, performance, satisfaction, and self-efficacy in virtual reality-assisted language education // *Foreign Language Annals*. – 2024. – Vol. 57, No. 4. – DOI: 10.1111/flan.12748.
10. Wang C., Zou B., Du Y., Wang Z. The impact of different conversational generative AI chatbots on EFL learners: An analysis of willingness to communicate, foreign language speaking anxiety, and self-perceived communicative competence // *System*. – 2024. – Vol. 127. – 103533. – DOI: 10.1016/j.system.2024.103533.
11. Yan J., Wu C., Tan X., Dai M. The influence of AI-driven personalized foreign language learning on college students' mental health: a dynamic interaction among pleasure, anxiety, and self-efficacy // *Frontiers in Public Health*. – 2025. – Vol. 13. – 1642608. – DOI: 10.3389/fpubh.2025.1642608.
12. Wang L., Wang L. Exploring enjoyment, motivation, self-efficacy, and engagement in AI-assisted English learning: A self-determination theory approach // *Learning and Motivation*. – 2025. – Vol. 92. – 102197. – DOI: 10.1016/j.lmot.2025.102197.
13. Bárkányi Z., Brash B. Foreign language speaking anxiety online: Mitigating strategies and speaking practices // *ReCALL*. – 2025. – Vol. 37, No. 3. – P. 421–440. – DOI: 10.1017/S0958344025000060.

14. Liu G. L., Zhang Y., Zhang R. Examining the relationships among motivation, informal digital learning of English, and foreign language enjoyment: An explanatory mixed-method study // *ReCALL*. – 2024. – Vol. 36, No. 1. – P. 72–88. – DOI: 10.1017/S0958344023000204.
15. Zhang Z., Gao X. (Andy), Liu T., Lee C. B. Language learners' emotion regulation and enjoyment in an online collaborative writing program // *Studies in Second Language Learning and Teaching*. – 2022. – Vol. 12, No. 3. – P. 459–481. – DOI: 10.14746/ssllt.2022.12.3.6.